STA PILE3

ANCHOR PILE DESIGN (USING API RP 2A) WITH SUCTION EMBEDMENT OPTION

Version 1.6, October 1998

USER MANUAL

STA PILE3 is a computer program for the design and analysis of pile anchors. The piles are treated as being "short" with a free-head condition. The attachment point, or padeye for the mooring line may be at any point on the pile The pile may be driven into the sea bed or may be embedded by suction. The pile may be fully embedded, partially embedded, or may be driven deep beneath the sea bed.

STA PILE3 permits the user to soil layers, each of which may have The layers may be a mixture of soils. Primary results from the capacity of pile anchrs for vertical Additionally, the program provides and provides an ultimate capacity and horizontal loading. The calculate suction embedment pressure requred to embed a and a warning is given if the plug



specify up to three different varying strength properties. cohesive and cohesionless program provide the ultimate and horizontal loading. factors of safety against failure check for combined vertical program may also be used to conditions. The differential suction anchor is calculated inside the anchor will lift. For

cylindrical steel piles, maximum axial and bending stresses in the pile are also calculated.

This program has been developed by Stewart Technology Associates (STA). All copyright for the software and documentation remains with STA. Users of the program are cautioned to exercise experienced and careful engineering judgment when interpreting the results from STA PILE3. This is especially important with this program, since results can be obtained in seconds on a modern PC. This rapid speed and ease of use does not alter the care and attention needed from the user associated with selecting the appropriate geotechnical and loading conditions. The program runs in the environment of Microsoft Windows and Microsoft Excel. A mouse is used to click on option buttoms in order to move rapidly through the analysis. A large number of Help screens are provided. No experience of Excel is required to use STA PILE3.

No part of this document should be taken in isolation or out of context and interpreted in a manner inconsistant with the overall framework and intent of this document.

STEWART TECHNOLOGY ASSOCIATES 5619 Val Verde Houston, Texas 77057 Tel: (713) 789-8341 Fax: (713) 789-0314 e-mail: info@stewart-usa.com

10/29/98

EXTRACTS FROM LICENSE AGREEMENT

LIMITATION OF USE

This License is granted to the USER for an indefinite period. The USER agrees that no individual, outside consultant, government organization, or any person who is not on permanent staff with the USER or under direct in-house control of USER shall have access to the PROGRAM or shall use the PROGRAM for any purpose at any time. The use of the PROGRAM is not limited to a single machine, and the USER may make copies of PROGRAM and run it on several machines simultaneously. The USER agrees to make any reasonable effort to assure that the PROGRAM file or disk is not copied without authorization by OWNER, and that all users in USER's organization are familiar with these Limitations of Use. The USER agrees not to modify, copy, sell, lease, rent, give free of charge, or otherwise distribute or alter the PROGRAM or any part thereof to any individual, government agency, or organization outside of the USER organization.

COPYRIGHTS

All copyrights to the PROGRAM are reserved by OWNER. All versions of the PROGRAM are copyrighted by OWNER worldwide, beginning with 1991. The following is a trademark of OWNER: STA PILE3. The USER shall clearly and distinctly indicate the copyright in all published and public references to the PROGRAM.

WARRANTY

While the OWNER has carefully developed the software and the software has been tested for accuracy and proper functioning, nevertheless the OWNER cannot guarantee its accuracy and correctness. If the software fails to perform correctly as a result of errors or omissions by the OWNER or its staff, the OWNER will at its discretion rectify those errors and omissions free of all charges to the USER. This shall be the limit of the OWNER's liability in this respect. OWNER warrants that it has the right to grant this license. The PROGRAM and its documentation is sold "as is," and the USER assumes the entire risk as to quality and performance.

HOLD HARMLESS

The OWNER shall not be liable to the USER or any other party for any design, performance or other fault or inadequacy of the PROGRAM or its manual, or for any direct or implied damages of any kind arising out of or in any way related to or connected with any use of the PROGRAM.

CONTENTS LIST

<u>Subj</u> e	ect	Page #
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	FAILURE MECHANISM	2
3.0	SPECIAL CONDITIONS AT PILE ANCHORS	3
4.0 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	PROGRAM INSTALLATION Introduction Install Files and Create Directories Install Icon. Program Files On Distribution Diskettes	4 4 4 4 4
5.0	HARDWARE REQUIREMENTS AND PRINTING	6
6.0	RUNNING THE PROGRAM	8
7.0	GETTING HELP	10
8.0 8.1 8.2 8.3	BASIC ANALYSIS ASSUMPTIONS. Stiffness Factors Vertical Loads Ultimate Resistance To Horizontal Loading Cohesive Soils Cohesionless Soils Layered Soils Rotational Failure Calculations.	14 15 17 17 17 18 18
9,0	PILE STRESSES	20
REFE	RENCES	21

APPENDIX 1DEFINITION OF INPUT DATA

1.0 INTRODUCTION

STA PILE3 is a program for the design and analysis of piles with a free-head condition. The program determines the ultimate capacity of the pile in response to a combination of vertical and horizontal loading. Being an ultimate capacity approach, the program does not consider deflection limitations. Those who wish to determine piles suited to conditions which must have limited deflections should use another approach. STA has programs for this and inquiries are welcomed.

The general approach in STA PILE 3 is that of the API (Reference 1). The user may define up to three soil layers which may be either cohesive or cohesionless or with combined properties.

The basic version of the program is set up to handle cylindrical steel piles and the user must specify the pile properties. These properties are yield stress, pile length, pile diameter, pile wall thickness, and Young's modulus for the steel.

In addition to analyzing the capacity of installed piles, STA PILE 3 may be used to calculate the conditions associated with the installation of suction embedded piles. Suction embedded piles are installed by causing a differential pressure between the inside of the pile and the surrounding water. This differential pressure causes a net downwards force on the top of the pile. Under certain conditions, the pile will force itself into the sea bed.

2.0 FAILURE MECHANISM

The general failure mechanism assumed by the program is for the pile to rotate about some point within its length as a consequence of the lateral load or to pull upwards as a consequence of the vertical component of load applied to the pile. These failure mechanisms are illustrated in Figure 1 below.

In the case for a vertical pile unrestrained at the head subjected to a lateral load at the pile head, the lateral loading is initially carried by the soil close to the ground surface. As the load at the pile head is increased, the soil compresses elastically, but the movement is sufficient to transfer some pressure from the pile to the soil at greater depth. Eventually, the soil yields plastically and transfers loads to greater depth still. This program is for short "rigid" piles. Length to width ratios should be less than 12. At the ultimate capacity load (applied horizontally to the pile head) the pile will rotate and fail the soil plastically. A passive resistance develops above the toe on the opposite face of the pile adding to the resistance of the soil further up the pile towards the ground surface. Failure occurs when the passive resistance of the soil at the head and the toe are exceeded.



FIGURE 1

C:\Documents and Settings\Lucy.HAL-9000A\My Documents\My Web Sites\stewart-usa.com\www\HTMLobj-STAPile3Manual\STAPILE3.DOC

3.0 SPECIAL CONDITIONS AT PILE ANCHORS

STA PILE3 is designed to take account of the special conditions associated with the design and analysis of pile anchors. Several important differences between pile anchors and free standing piles are noted here. First, the pile anchor is frequently buried beneath the sea bed. Second, the load applied to the pile anchor is generally from a mooring chain attached to the pile anchor by a pad eye. The pad eye may be some distance below the top of the pile anchor. Consequently, the pile anchor induces passive soil resistance above the point of load application as well as below the point of load application. The program accounts for the improved lateral resistance of fully buried pile anchors when the pad eye is at some distance beneath the top of the pile anchor.

Unlike foundation piles for many offshore structures, that are generally long and slender, anchor piles are generally stocky, with comparatively slenderness ratios. API (Reference 1) and other design guidance documents/authorities generally recognise that the horizontal resistance of a long slender offshore structure foundation pile largely comes from the upper sea bed soils. The pull-out resistance, and the resistance to further embedment, largely comes from the soil around the lower half of the pile. Hence the two types of ultimate capacity can be treated virtually independently. In short stocky anchor piles this is inappropriate and combined failures are to be considered (rotation caused by horizontal loads and pull-out caused by vertical loads).

4.0 PROGRAM INSTALLATION

4.1 Introduction

STA PILE3 is a computer program for the design and analysis of pile anchors. The latest release of the program (Version 1.5, October 1998) runs in the environment of Microsoft Excel 97, or later, under Windows 95, NT4, or later. The program is distributed as a series of Excel workbook with macro files on a single 3.5 inch floppy disk.

This section of the manual provides instructions for loading the program and setting up the Windows icon.

4.2 Install Files and Create Directories

STA PILE3 must be set up in sub-directory on your hard disk. Before installing STA PILE3, you must have Excel already installed on your hard disk. You must manually set up the necessary directory and copy the files over. The manual procedure is as follows:

Create a sub-directory, for example, called STAPILE. All files from the floppy disk should be copied into the STAPILE sub-directory. You will then have a path to the program files as shown below:

X:WY_PROJECTS/STAPILE/program_files

In the example above, *X*: is the name of your hard disk (most likely this will be *C*: or *D*:) and the *MY_PROJECTS* directory may be where you store your projects.

4.3 Install Icon

Once the directory structure has been created and the program files have been copied from the distribution floppy diskette to the STAPILE sub-directory, you can set up the icon (see your Windows documantation, or simply right-click on your desktop and be intuitive).

4.4 **Program Files On Distribution Diskettes**

Each distribution diskette for STA PILE3 is a self-contained version of the program. The files on your diskette should be as listed below.

File Name	Description

C:\Documents and Settings\Lucy.HAL-9000A\My Documents\My Web Sites\stewart-usa.com\www\HTMLobj-STAPile3Manual\STAPILE3.DOC

STA PILE3, VERSION 1.6, October 1998	PAGE 5

STAPILE3.ICO	Icon for STA PILE3. The icon is displayed by Windows. Double
	click on the icon to start STA PILE3 after program is installed.
STAPILE3.XLS	This is the main file displayed to the user by Excel. All the data input
	is specified in this file. All principle results are contained in this file.

Other files may be present on your diskette, and the names of some of the above files may be changed slightly on some diskettes.

The STA PILE3 icon is shown to the right.



5.0 HARDWARE REQUIREMENTS AND PRINTING

STA PILE3 requires an IBM PC with Microsoft Excel 67 or later, running under Microsoft Windows 95 or later environment. The main spreadsheet is large (approximately 550 Kbytes) and a minimum memory of 16MB is recommended. Program output has been customized such that it prints all principal input and output on one page as shown in Figure 2, on the following page.

For best quality print, it is recommended that a color ink jet printer is used with a minimum resolution of 300 dpi. Significantly better quality will be obtained with a dot resolution of 400 dpi or above.

True Type fonts have been used throughout the worksheet. Almost all the fonts are Arial. Many of the fonts are Arial Narrow. If you do not have these fonts on your machine, the program will select the nearest font style. It is recommended that you obtain the Arial Narrow font if it is not currently available on your system.

You may elect to print any of the output in color, for example on a HP Deskjet printer. If a color printer is used, you may have to disable certain third party fontware, such as Adobe Type Manager, in order to get color text and numbers to print. However, once your printer is set up to print any other spreadsheets from Excel, you should have no problem in printing output from STA PILE 3.

You may have a higher resolution screen driver (than VGA, which is the minimum required for STA PILE3) in use on your system. This will be advantageous in that it allows you to see more of the main worksheet than you can see with a VGA driver. Most of the examples in this manual show screens with an 800 x 600 screen driver.

SIA	PILE3	A	nchor l	Pile De	sign (u	sing API RP	2A) w/Suc	ction Embedment	
	v.1.6 Oct. 19	98	Run ref:	Inactis	ve Subs-	compact gray	el at 40 H	10/28/98 23:20	
Сорул	Copyright Stewart Technology Associates 1992 and onwards. For support telephone: (713) 789-8341, or Jax: (713) 789-0314								
Explain	Explain value Select Analysis Type and Apply Assumptions Friction Print Input &								
	Navy soil design parameters API Cohesionless soil design parameters								
	INPUT	DATA BEL	.0W			For pile top b	elo n ser bei	d make stop negative.	
SOL	PROPERT	TES (up to i	three la	iers)		PILE PROPER	TIES and A	NALYSIS OPTIONS	
30.00	Z1, thickness	of upper soil lay	yer (ft)	soil-pile	35.00	Fy, Yield stress fo	r pile steel (ksi	490 pile mass density (lb/cuf	t)
10.00	Z2, thickness	of middle soil l	ayer (ft)	friction	40.00	Lp, length (ft)		0.0 no. radial bulkheads	
40.00	Z3, thickness	of lowest soil l	ayer (ft)	angles	-20.00	ztop, top to seabe	ed (-ve if buried	1 radial bulhead thickness	(in)
0.00	Phi1, 1st layer	friction angle (deg.)	ø	20.00	ac, dist.pile head t	o pad eye (ft)	0 pile top thickness (in)	
30.00	Phi2, 2nd lay	er friction angle	(deg.)	25	48.00	pile OD (in)		0.8 cu reduction factor	
45.00	Phi3, 3rd laye	er friction angle	(deg.)	35	1.35	t, pile wall thicknes	ss (inches)	2 Installed capacity a	nalysi
35.00	cu1, undrained	d sh. strength to)p 1st layer	(psf)	4E+06	E, Young's Modul	us pile (psi)	2 1=closed end, 2=open	
235.10	cu2, undraine	d sh. strength b	ottom 1st	layer (psf)	251	Hmax, applied late	ral load (kip)	1 cu_switch; 1=psi, 2=old .	API meti
0.00	cu3, undraine	d sh. strength to	op 2nd lay	er (psf)	199	Vmax, applied ver	t.load (+ve up)	2 1=underconsol., 2=norma	1
0.00	cu4, undraine	d sh. strength b	ottom 2nd	l layer (ps		SU.	MMARY P	RESULTS	
0.00	cu5, undraine	d sh. strength to	op 3rd lave	er (psf)	24.35	Horizontal Id	ad safet e l	actor Explain valu	IE
0.00	cu6, undraine	d sh. strength b	ottom 3rd	laver (ps	2.48	Yertical load	safete fac	107	
35.00	Gamma1, 1st l	ayer buoyant we	eight (pcf)		0.24	Unity stress	check (app	.loads)	
70.00	Gamma2, 2nd	laver buovant v	weiaht (pc	ก	0.25	UIL capacite	unite checi	t (Mererhof)	
70.00	Gamma3, 3rd	l layer buoyant v	veight (pcl	open		Short	pile criteria	probably OK	
DETA	AILED RE	SULTS		Meyer	thof uni	ty check bas	sed on a s	afety factor of 1.5	
6120	Hult, ult.horiz	. capacity in ki	ete	nła			Rotation	center shown as blue dot	
492	Vult, ult.vert.	capacity in kip		i nin					
								Pile Elevation	
422	f, dist.top to	rotation cente	n/ 3	plug resi	stance (kips)		Pile Elevation	
422	f, dist.top to fb, max bend	rotation cente .str.from Hmax	n/a 0.00	plug resi weight ra	stance (kips idial bulkhes) ids (kips)		Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00	f, dist.top to fb, max bend fa, max.ax.str	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (k:	0.00 0.00	plug resi weight ra weight ol	stance (kips idial bulkhes f pile top (k) ids (kips) ips)	-11 -	Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93	f, dist.top to fb, max bend fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (k tr.applied load .sir (kins)	0.00 0.00 23.41	plug resi: weight ra weight ol pile weig feditable	stance (kips idial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of) ods (kips) ips) kip)		Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 189E+11	f, dist.top to fb, max bend fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in EL for pile (lb	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (k: tr.applied load .air (kips) of-in*2)	0.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00	plug resi weight ra weight ol pile weig (editable multiplier	stance (kips idial bulkhe: f pile top (k ht in water () density of ; on base sh) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: lafull Qanone		Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.89E+11 11.50	f, dist.top to fb, max bend fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (k tr.applied loac air (kips) of-in*2) s (avg. value)	0.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.39E+04	plug resis weight ra weight ol pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile	stance (kips idial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of r on base sh in in "4) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, O=none		Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.83E+11 11.50 3.48	f, dist.top to fb, max bend fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (k tr.applied load air (kips) of-in^2) s (avg. value) .ngth/stiff.fact	0.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.33E+04 380	plug resi weight ra weight ol pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile average	stance (kips idial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of r on base sh in in^4 skin friction) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, O=none (psf)		Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.83E+11 11.50 3.48 10.00	f, dist.top to fb, max bend fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le L/B, embedm	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (k tr.applied loac air (kips) of-in*2) s (avg. value) .ngth/stiff.fact ent length/pile	110 10,00 0,00 23,41 64,00 0,00 5,33E+04 380 -110,71	plug resi weight ra weight of pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile average : fb, max b	stance (kips idial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of r on base sh in in "4 skin friction wending stre) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, O=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from		Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.83E+11 11.50 3.48 10.00 5502	f, dist.top to fb, max bend. fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (Ib T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le L/B, embedm max +ve BM	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (k tr.applied load air (kips) of-in^2) s (avg. value) .ngth/stiff fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki	64.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.33E+04 380 -110.71 2.43	plug resi weight ra weight of pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile average : fb, max b fa, max. a	stance (kips idial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of r on base sh in in^4 skin friction bending stre ixial stress i) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, O=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from n pile in ksi from Vo		Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.89E+11 11.50 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707	f, dist.top to fb, max bend. fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le L/B, embedm max +ve BM f max -ve_BM f	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (ki tr.applied load air (kips) of-in~2) s (avg. value) .ngth/stiff.fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki irom Hult (ft-ki		plug resi weight ra weight of pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile average s fb, max b fa, max, a max, com	stance (kips idial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of r on base sh in in *4 skin friction wending stres ixial stress i ubined stres) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from n pile in ksi from Vi s in pile in ksi from Vi		Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.83E+11 11.50 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707 Explain	f, dist.top to fb, max bend fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (Ib T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le L/B, embedm max +ve BM1 max -ve BM1 value \$	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (ke tr.applied loac air (kips) of-in*2) s (avg. value) .ngth/stiff.fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki elect Analysi	•/3 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.33E+04 380 -110.71 2.43 113.20 is Type :	plug resi weight ra weight of pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile average s fb, max b fa, max, a max, com	stance (kips idial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of c on base sh in in^4 skin friction vending stre ixial stress i bined stres Change A) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from n pile in ksi from Vu s in pile in ksi from pplied Loads		Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.89E+11 1150 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707 Explain	f, dist.top to fb, max bend. fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le L/B, embedm max +ve BM f max -ve BM f value <i>Graph</i> Bending M	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (ke tr.applied loac air (kips) of-in^2) s (avg. value) .ngth/stiff.fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki rom Hult (ft-ki clect Analysi is before are loments	•/• •/• •/• •/• •/• •/• •/• •/•	plug resi weight ra weight of pile weig (editable (editable (editable multiplier 1, for pile average s fb, max b fa, max a max.com addor C upon A	stance (kips idial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of on base sh in in "4 skin friction bending stree ixial stress i ibined stress ibined stress ibange Ap <i>hult apph</i>) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from pile in ksi from Vu s in pile in ksi from pile in ksi from pile d Loads ied (o the pile Shear	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.83E-11 11.50 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707 Explain	f, dist.top to fb, max bend. fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lk T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le L/B, embed.le L/B, embed.le L/B, embed.le Max +ve BM1 max +ve BM1 max -ve BM1 Graph Bending M	rotation cente str.from Hmax from Vmax (ki tr.applied loac air (kips) of-in*2) s (avg. value) ingth/stiff.fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki com Hult (ft-ki)) com	0.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.33E+04 380 -110.71 2.49 113.20 is Type s	plug resi weight ra weight of pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile average s fb, max b fa, max, a max, com advor of Ho	stance (kips dial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of r on base sh in in ^4 skin friction bending stree xial stress i abined stress hange Ap <i>hult apph</i> rizontal Fores) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from pile in ksi from Vi s in pile in ksi from Vi s in pile in ksi from pilied Loads iso for the pile Shear F	a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.83E+11 11.50 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707 Explain	f, dist.top to fb, max bend. fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le L/B, embed.le L/B, embed.le L/B, embed.le Max +ve BM I max +ve BM I max -ve BM I Falue S Graph Bending M kip	rotation cente str.from Hmax from Vmax (ki tr.applied load air (kips) of-in^2) s (avg. value) s (avg. v	0.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.33E+04 380 -110.71 2.43 113.20 is Type :	plug resi weight rs weight o pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile average s fb, max b fa, max. a max. com max. com Ho	stance (kips dial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of c on base sh in in^4 skin friction bending stree xial stress i bbined stress ibbined stre) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from n pile in ksi from Vu s in pile in ksi from Vu s in pile in ksi from pplied Loads ied to the pile Shear suu	1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.89E+11 11.50 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707 Explain	f, dist.top to fb, max bend. fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le L/B, embed.m max +ve BM f max -ve BM f Talue S Grap Bending M kip	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (k tr.applied load air (kips) .of-in^2) s (avg. value) .ngth/stiff.fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki circom Hul	0.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.39E+04 380 -110.71 2.43 113.20 is Type 3 based	plug resi weight rs weight o pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile average s fb, max b fa, max. com adfor C Up on A Ho	stance (kips dial bulkher f pile top (k ht in water () density of r on base sh in in^4 skin friction bending stres iblined stress iblined st) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from pile in ksi from Vu s in pile in ksi from piled Loads ied (o the pile, Shear suu		Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.89E+11 11.50 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707 Explain	f, dist.top to fb, max bend. fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le L/B, embed.m max +ve BM / max -ve BM / Talue S Graph Bending M kip	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (k tr.applied load air (kips) of-in*2) s (avg. value) .ngth/stiff.fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki cirom Hult (ft-ki elect Analysi s before are loments o-feet		plug resi weight ra weight o pile weig (editable multiplier 1, for pile average s fa, max a max.com addror C up con A Ho	stance (kips dial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of on base sh in in "4 skin friction wending stree ixial stress i ubined stress ibined stres) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from pile in ksi from pile in ksi from pile Loads ied (co the pile Shear sin		Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.83E+11 11.50 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707 Explain 6 	f, dist.top to fb, max bend. fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le L/B, embedm max +ve BM (max -ve BM (value \$ Bending M kip	rotation cente str.from Hmax from Vmax (k tr.applied loac air (kips) of-in^2) s (avg. value) ingth/stiff.fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki elect Analysi s before are loments s-feet	0.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.33E+04 380 -110.71 2.43 113.20 is Type 3 based	plug resi weight ra weight of pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile average s fb, max b fa, max a max.com and/or C up con F HO	stance (kips dial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of r on base sh in in "4 skin friction wending stre xial stress i bined stress ibined stress) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from pile in ksi from Vi s in pile in ksi from splied Loads ied to the pile, Shear suu		Pile Elevation	•
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.83E+11 11.50 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707 Explain 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	f, dist.top to fb, max bend. fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/B, embed.lc L/B, embed.lc L/B, embed.lc Max -ve BM f value S GrapA Bending M kip	rotation cente str.from Hmax from Vmax (ki tr.applied loac air (kips) of-in*2) s (avg. value) ingth/stiff.fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki com H	0.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.33E+04 980 -110.71 2.49 113.20 is Type :	plug resi weight ra weight o pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile average s fb, max b fa, max, a max, com ad/or O HO	stance (kips idial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of on base sh in in ^4 skin friction sending stres isbined stress isbined st) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from pile in ksi from Vi s in pile in ksi from Vi s in pile in ksi from pile d Loads splied Loads shear suu A		Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.83E-11 11.50 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707 Explain (i) 31 (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i)	f, dist.top to fb, max bend. fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.lc L/B, embed.m max +ve BM max -ve BM	rotation cente str.from Hmax from Vmax (ke tr.applied load air (kips) of-in*2) s (avg. value) ingth/stiff.fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki clect Analysi s <i>before are</i> longents o-feet	0.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.33E+04 380 -110.71 2.43 113.20 is Type :	plug resi weight rs weight o pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile average s fb, max b fa, max, com max, com Mor C Upon A Ho	stance (kips dial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of on base sh in in^4 skin friction rending stree xial stress i bbined stress ibbined stress) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from n pile in ksi from sin pile in ksi from splied Loads ied to the pile Shear suu	1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -	Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.89E+11 11.50 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707 Explain (u) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	f, dist.top to fb, max bend. fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le L/B, embed.m max +ve BM / max -ve BM / Talue S Graph Bending M kip	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (ke tr.applied load .sir (kips) .of-in^2) s (avg. value) .ngth/stiff.fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki clect Analysi .ofeet 	0.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.33E+04 380 -110.71 2.43 113.20 is Type :	plug resi weight rs weight o pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile average s fb, max b fa, max. com ad/or C up co / Ho	stance (kips dial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of on base sh in in^4 skin friction sending stre xial stress i blined stress iblined stress) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from pile in ksi from pile in ksi from pile Loads iso (c. the pile Shear suu sinu shear suu	1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1	Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.89E+11 1150 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707 Explain (ii) 31 iii iii) 31 iii) 31 iii	f, dist.top to fb, max bend. fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le L/B, embed.m max +ve BM / max -ve BM / ralue S Graph Bending M kip	rotation cente str.from Hmax from Vmax (k tr.applied load air (kips) of-in^2) s (avg. value) ingth/stiff fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki clect Analysi s Aelow aus s-feet time tables -feet	0.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.39E+04 380 -110.71 2.43 113.20 is Type :	plug resi weight rs weight o pile weig (editable multiplier l, for pile average s fb, max b fa, max a max. com ad/or C up on A Ho 	stance (kips dial bulkher f pile top (k ht in water () density of r on base sh in in ^4 skin friction rending stres iblined stress iblined s) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from pile Loads is sin pile in ksi from pile Loads is sin pile in ksi from pile Loads is sin pile in ksi from pile Loads		Pile Elevation	
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.83E+11 1150 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707 Explain () () () () () () () () () () () () ()	f, dist.top to fb, max bend. fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.le L/B, embedm max +ve BM (max -ve BM (value \$) Graph Bending M kip	rotation cente .str.from Hmax .from Vmax (k tr.applied load air (kips) of-in^2) s (avg. value) .ngth/stiff.fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki com Hult (ft-ki elect Analysi s before are loments o-feet	0.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.33E+04 380 -110.71 2.43 113.20 is Type 3 <i>based</i>	plug resi weight ra weight o pile weig (editable multiplier i, for pile average s /b, max b fa, max a max.com ad/or C up con / HO	stance (kips dial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of r on base sh in in "4 skin friction rending stre xial stress i bined stress ibined stress) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from pile in ksi from Vi s in pile in ksi from splied Loads ied to the pile Shear suu 1	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Pile Elevation	•
422 -4.55 1.00 5.55 26.93 1.89E-11 11.50 3.48 10.00 5502 -20707 Explain 4 (u) 10 4 4 4 5 10 5 10 5 5 10 5 5 10 5 10 5	f, dist.top to fb, max bend fa, max.ax.str fmax, comb.s pile weight in El, for pile (lb T, rel.stiffnes L/T, embed.lc L/B, embedm max +ve BM (max -ve BM (max -ve BM) water S Graph Bending M kip	rotation cente str.from Hmax from Vmax (ki tr.applied load air (kips) of-in*2) s (avg. value) ingth/stiff.fact ent length/pile from Hult (ft-ki com Hult (ft-ki s <i>before are</i> toments o-feet	0.00 0.00 23.41 64.00 0.00 5.33E+04 980 -110.71 2.49 113.20 is Type : <i>based</i>	plug resi weight ra weight o pile weig (editable multiplier I, for pile averages fb, max b fa, max, com max, co	stance (kips dial bulkhes f pile top (k ht in water () density of on base sh in in ^4 skin friction rending stres isbined stress isbined str) ids (kips) ips) kip) sea water (lb/cuft) ear: 1=full, 0=none (psf) ss in pile in ksi from pile in ksi from Vi s in pile in ksi from pile in ksi from pile d Loads is from the pile Shear sum sin a sim sin a sim sim sin a sim sin a sim sim sin a sim sim sin a sim sim sim sim sim sim sim sim	1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -	Pile Elevation	•

C:\Documents and Settings\Lucy.HAL-9000A\My Documents\My Web Sites\stewart-usa.com\www\HTMLobj-STAPile3Manual\STAPILE3.DOC

6.0 RUNNING THE PROGRAM

Once you have set up the program using the instructions in Section 4.0, you will be able to click on the STAPILE3 icon to start Excel and STA PILE3. The main spreadsheet (*STAPILE3.XLS*) will load. If you can see the button labeled *Select Analysis Type and Apply Loads*, simply click once on this button. You may need to *maximize* the spreadsheet. Do this by clicking once on the negative sign in the upper left hand corner of the spreadsheet. From the drop down menu that will appear, click once on *Maximize*.

STA	STA PILE3 Anchor Pile Design (using API RP 2A) w/Suction Embedment							
v.1.6 Oct. 1998 Run ref. Inactive Subs				e Subs-co	compact gravel at 40 ft 10/28/98 20:30			
Copyrig	tht Stewar	t Technology Associates 1	992 and	onwards. For support telephone: (713) 789-8341, or fax: (713) 789-0314				
Explain value Select Analysis Type and Apply			d Apply I	Loads	ads Assumptions Friction Print Input & Results			
		Navy soil design paran	neters		AP	9 Cohesionles	s soil	design parameters
	INPU	T DATA BELOW			For pile top	below sea bed	make	ztop negative.
<u>soii</u>	<u>L PROPE</u>	RTIES (up to three lay	ers)		PILE PROPE	RTIES and A	NAL	<u>YSIS OPTIONS</u>
30.00	Z1, thickne	ss of upper soil layer (ft)	soil-pile	35.00	Fy, Yield stress for p	oile steel (ksi)	490	pile mass density (lb/cuft)
10.00	Z2, thickne	ss of middle soil layer (ft)	friction	40.00	Lp, length (ft)		0.0	no. radial bulkheads
40.00	Z3, thickne	ss of lowest soil layer (ft)	angles	-20.00	ztop, top to seabed (-ve if buried) (ft)		1	radial bulhead thickness (in)
0.00	Phi1, 1st la	yer friction angle (deg.)	0	20.00	zc, dist.pile head to pad eye (ft) 0 pile top thickness (in)		pile top thickness (in)	
30.00	Phi2, 2nd la	yer friction angle (deg.)	25	48.00	pile OD (in)		0.75	cu reduction factor
45.00	Phi3, 3rd la	yer friction angle (deg.)	35	1.35	t, pile wall thickness	(inches)	2	Installed capacity analysis
35.00	cu1, undrai	ned sh. strength top 1st layer (psf)		3500000	E, Young's Modulus	pile (psi)	2	1=closed end, 2=open
235.10	cu2, undrai	ned sh. strength bottom 1st layer (psf)	251	Hmax, applied latera	l load (kip)	1	cuswitch; 1=psi, 2=old API method
0.00	cu3, undrai	ned sh. strength top 2nd layer (psf)	199	Vmax, applied vert.l	oad (+ve up) (kip)	2	1=underconsol., 2=normal
0.00	cu4, undrai	ned sh. strength bottom 2nd layer	(psf)		su	IMMARY R	ESL	ILTS
0.00	cu5, undrai	ned sh. strength top 3rd layer (psf)		24.35	Horizontal loa	ad safety facto	or	Explain value
0.00	cu6, undrai	ned sh. strength bottom 3rd layer (psf)	2.48	Vertical load s	safety factor		
35.00	Gamma1, 1	lst layer buoyant weight (pcf)		0.24	Unity stress cl	heck (app.loa	ids)	
70.00	Gamma2, 2	2nd layer buoyant weight (pcf)		0.25	Ult. capacity ı	inity check (i	Иеуе	rhof)
70.00	Gamma3, S	drd layer buoyant weight (pcf)	open		Short	pile criteria	prob	ably OK

Your start up screen should then appear as shown in Figure 3.

FIGURE 3

The spreadsheet will always contain values in every editable cell. It is recommended that following an analysis with the program, you save the spreadsheet before closing down the application. You should begin each new analysis by clicking on the button *Select Analysis Type and Applied Loads*. A dialog box will open in which you can select the type of analysis you wish to perform. The choices are *Installed Pile Capacity Analysis* or *Suction Embedment Analysis*. In this dialog box, you will also be able to define the loads to be applied to the pile in an installed pile capacity analysis. These loads will be retained in this dialog box and loaded into the worksheet each time you select the *Installed Pile Capacity Analysis* option. If you select to perform a suction embedment analysis, these loads will still be retained in the dialog box, but the horizontal applied load will be set to zero on the worksheet and the load necessary to

STA PILE3, VERSION 1.6, October 1998	PAGE 9

cause suction embedment will be calculated as the vertical applied load. An example of how this dialog box looks is shown in Figure 4.



FIGURE 4

Once you have set the analysis type, you may edit any of the cells in the input data section of the worksheet. A full description of the meaning of all the terms in this section of the worksheet is contained in Appendix A.

Please note that the program uses iterative calculations to determine whether or not the pile is plugged if an open ended pile analysis is performed. As soon as you have selected the analysis type, the worksheet environment will be set within Excel to perform iterative calculations. While the iterative scheme is relatively robust, you may find that you can specify inappropriate input data which will cause the system to fail. The failure will be manifested by error messages occurring in Results cells. You should normally be able to rectify this situation by correcting your input data. However, it may be necessary to switch from a suction embedment analysis to an installed pile analysis and specify the pile to be closed ended. Once you have corrected your problems of inappropriate data input, the program should run successfully.

7.0 GETTING HELP

In Figure 3, two of the buttons are labeled "Explain". Highlight any data entry or results cell (by clicking on it once) and then click once on any "Explain" button. A dialog box will be flashed up onto the screen containing information regarding the selected cell. An example of this is shown in Figure 5. In this figure, the user has selected the input cell cu_switch . A dialog box has been brought up onto the screen describing what this input data selection "switch" does.

STA Help	? ×
ОК]	
This term is a selection switch which determines if the skir (nineteenth edition) psi method, or if the old API method (n friction is to be calculated by the API RP 2A (described in the commentary) should be used.
In the psi method:	In the old API method:
friction = $f = alpha \times Cu$	f = Cu (for $Cu = < 0.25$ ton/sqft)
$alpha = 0.5 \times psi^{5}$ (for $psi = < 1.0$)	$f = 0.5 \times Cu$ (for Cu > 0.75 ton/sqft)
alpha = $0.5 \times psi $ ^25 (for $psi > 1.0$) psi = Cu/p0	A linear variation of f with Cu is used between the above values of Cu.
p0 = effective overburden pressure at point in question	
It is simple to investigate the difference between results of this particular term.	obtained by either method, simply by changing

FIGURE 5

Help is also available in interpreting the results. The main summary results for an installed pile analysis are seen in the lower right hand corner of Figure 2. In Figure 6 (an enlarged view of a section of Figure 2) it is seen that the *ultimate capacity unity check* results cell has been selected. The dialog box that appears when the "Explain" button is clicked is shown Figure 7 and explains the basis of this result.

sf)	257 Hmax, applied lateral load (kip)	1 cu_switch; 1=psi, 2=old API method							
	199 Vmax, applied vert.load (+ve up) (kip)	2 1=underconsol., 2=normal							
osf)	SUMMARY RESULTS								
	24.35 Horizontal load safety fact	or Explain value							
sf)	2.48 Vertical load safety factor								
	0.24 Unity stress check (app.loads)								
	0.25 Ult. capacity unity check (Meyerhof)								
open	Short pile criteria	probably OK							
Meyei	Meyerhof unity check based on a safety factor of 1.5								
	FIGURE 6								

C:\Documents and Settings\Lucy.HAL-9000A\My Documents\My Web Sites\stewart-usa.com\www\HTMLobj-STAPile3Manual\STAPILE3.DOC

STA Help	? ×
The value for the ultimate capacity unity check, UCUC, is found from the following:	
$UCUC = ((H/Hult)^2 + (V/Vult)^2) \times 1.5$	
Where:	
H = applied horizontal load	
Hult = ultimate horizontal capacity (in absence of vertical loading)	
V = applied vertical load	
Vult = ultimate vertical capacity (in absence of horizontal loading)	
It can be seen that if $H = Hult$, and $V = Vult$, then UCUC = 3.0	
If the factors of safety for both horizontal and vertical failures are both 1.5, then:	
$UCUC = 2 \times (1/1.5)^2 = 1.33$	
If both vertical and horizontal factors of safety against failure are 1.73, the UCUC is equal to 1.0	
The UCUC is one way of assessing the adequacy of pile anchors subject to combined loads.	
FIGURE 7	

If the user selects a cell for which there is no help available, a dialog box will be flashed up on the screen, suggesting that the cursor is repositioned in another cell. An example of this is shown in Figure 8.

STA Help	? ×
No help available for this cell. Place cursor in a data input cell or in a results value cell, then click on explain button.	

FIGURE 8

Two tables of useful data are built into the program and can be viewed by clicking on the "API Cohesionless Soil Parameters" button or the "Navy Soil Design Parameters" button. The tables are shown in figures 9 and 10.

Table 5.3-1 From Navy Geotechnical Handbook								
Properties of Cohesionless Soil Useful in Pile Design								
	Standard	friction	relative	buoyant				
	Penetration	angle	density	unit weight				
Туре	Blow Count, N	degrees	percentage	pcf				
very loose to loose	<10	28 - 30	0 - 35	45 - 55				
medium dense	10 - 30	30 - 36	35 - 65	55 - 65				
dense	30 - 50	35 - 42	65 - 85	60 - 70				
very dense	50+	40 - 45	85 - 100	60 - 70				
Copyright Stewart Technology Associate	≥s 1992 and onwards. Fo	ir support telephone: ((713) 789-8341, or fa	x: (713) 789-0314				
Table 5.3-2 From Navy Geotechnical Handbook								
Properties of	Properties of Cohesive Soils Useful in Pile Design							
	undrained sh	ear strength		buoyant				

	undrained snear strength			buoyant
	su		epsilon50	unit weight
Туре	psi	psf	percentage	pcf
underconsolidated clays	0.35 - 1.0	50 - 150	2	20 - 25
normally consolidated				
soils at depth z , inches	1.0 + 0.0033z	144 + 5.7z (ft)	2 - 1	25 - 50
overconsolidated soils				
based on consistency:				
medium stiff	3.5 - 7.0	500 - 1000	1	50 - 65
stiff	7.0 - 14	1000 - 2000	0.7	50 - 65
very stiff	14 - 28	2000 - 4000	0.5	50 - 65
hard	over 28	over 4000	0.4	50 - 65

FIGURE 9

Table 6.4.3-1 from API RP 2A, 20th Edition, 1993							
	Design Parameters for Cohesionless Siliceous Soils*						
		Soil-Pile				Limiting	Unit
		Friction	Limitir	ig Skin		End Be	ering
	Soil	Ange, delta	Friction	n Values		Valu	les
Density	Description	Degrees	kips/sqft	kPa	No	kips/sqft	MPa
Very Loose	Sand	15	1.0	47.8	8	40	1.9
Loose	Sand-Silt**	15	1.0	47.8	8	40	1.9
Medium	Silt	15	1.0	47.8	8	40	1.9
Loose	Sand	20	1.4	67.0	12	60	2.9
Medium	Sand-Silt**	20	14	67.0	12	60	2.9
Dense	Silt	20	1.4	67.0	12	60	2.9
Medium	Sand	25	1.7	81.3	20	100	4.8
Dense	Sand-Silt**	25	1.7	81.3	20	100	4.8
Dense	Sand	30	2.0	95.7	40	200	96
Very Dense	Sand-Silt**	30	2.0	95.7	40	200	9.6
Dense	Gravel	35	2.4	114.8	50	250	12.0
Very Dense	Sand	35	2.4	114.8	50	250	12.0
**Sand-Sit includes those soils with significant fractions of							
only. Where detailed information such as in situ cone tests, both sand and st. Strength values generally increase with							
strength tests on high	n cualitysamples, mod	el tests, or pile		increasing sand fraction	ons and decrease with	increasng sit	
drwng performance is avalable, other values may be justified. tractions.				tractions.			

FIGURE 10

8.0 BASIC ANALYSIS ASSUMPTIONS

The user can be reminded of the basic analysis assumptions when running the program by clicking once on the button a*ssumptions*. If a vertically downwards load is applied to the pile, the end bearing resistance of the pile will be calculated.

8.1 Stiffness Factors

Although the program assumes that the pile behaves as a short rigid unit, a stiffness check is performed and a warning is issued if the pile appears to be too flexible compared to the soil stiffness for the case being analyzed. The program calculates an average stiffness factor for the pile based upon the stiffness of the pile (El value) and the compressibility of the soil. The soil compressibility is expressed in terms of a soil modulus which is not constant for any soil type but depends upon the width of the pile and the depth of the particular loaded area of soil being considered.

The soil stiffness is found by the program in terms of the coefficient of subgrade reaction, n_h . The coefficient n_h is determined for a user selected value of the ratio y_{max} divided by D, the pile diameter. The method used is that contained in the Navy Handbook For Marine Geotechnical Engineering (Reference 2.). For cohesionless soils, n_h is obtained from Figure 5.3-2 in Reference 2. The program contains polynomial expressions which have been fitted to the four curves in this figure, each of which is for a different soil relative density (D_r). The relative density of the soil is estimated by the program based upon the friction angle of the soil specified for a particular layer by the user. The following selection criteria are used:

 $\label{eq:lfu} \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{If } u < 5^\circ, \ D_r = 35\% & \mbox{If } u < 20^\circ, \ D_r = 50\% \\ \label{eq:lfu} \label{eq:lfu} \mbox{If } u < 30^\circ, \ D_r = 65\% & \mbox{If } u < 45^\circ, \ D_r = 85\% \\ \label{eq:lfu} \label{eq:lfu} \label{eq:lfu} \begin{array}{ll} \mbox{If } u < 45^\circ, \ D_r = 85\% \end{array}$

For cohesive soils, n_h is taken from Figure 5.3-3 in Reference 2. Polynomials are fitted to the two curves in that figure. The curve for soft clay is used if the average undrained shear strength for the clay is 1000 psf or less. The curve for stiff clay is used if the average undrained shear strength of the clay layer is greater than 1000 psf.

For each soil layer, the value of D_r is computed and a value for the pile-soil relative stiffness, T, is computed by the equation:

$$T = (EI/N_{\rm b})^{0.2}$$

A weighted average for T is then computed for the embedded length of the pile based upon the individual values for T for each of the soil layers in which the pile is embedded. The value of pile length divided by soil stiffness is reported (L/T). If this value exceeds

STA PILE3, VERSION 1.6, October 1998	PAGE 15

3.5, a warning is given by the program to the user that the short pile criteria may be exceeded. This is a non-fatal warning and the program will still continue.

8.2 Vertical Loads

Skin friction along the pile is calculated in each of the soil layers. API methods (Reference 1) are used. The shaft in cohesive soil layers, the shaft friction, f, is calculated by the equation 6.4.2-1 from Reference 1:

f = ac

Where a is a dimensionless factor and c is the undrained shear strength of the soil at the point in question. The factor, a, is computed by equation 6.4.2-2 from Reference 1:

 $a = 0.5w^{-0.5}$ (for w < 1.0) $a = 0.5w^{-0.25}$ (for w > 1.0)

Both of the above equations have the contraint that a may not exceed 1.0. The variable, w, is equal to c/p_o for the point in question, where p_o is the effective overburden pressure at the point in question.

The alternative method for determining pile vertical ultimate capacity described in the commentary to Reference 3 may also be used. If this method is desired, the user should set the input variable C_{switch} to 1 and the shaft friction in cohesive soils will be calculated as follows: For C to be less than or equal to 1/4 ton/ft², F = C. For C in excess of 1/4 ton/ft², but less than or equal to 3/4 ton per square foot, the ratio of F to C decreases linearly from unity at C = 1/4 ton/ft², to 1/2 at C = 3/4 ton/ft². For C in excess of 3/4 ton/ft², F is taken as 1/2 of C.

Shaft friction in cohesionless soils is calculated by the method described in Reference 3. The shaft friction, f, is found from the equation:

$$f = Kp_o tan d$$

Where K is the coefficient of lateral earth pressure, p_o is the effective overburden pressure and d is the friction angle between the soil and the pile wall. The value of K is taken to be 1 in the program as the pile is assumed to be closed end. The value of d is taken from Table 6.4.3-1 in Reference 3. The limiting values for f given in this table are also applied in STA PILE3.

If the vertical component of loading applied to the pile is upwards, shaft friction on the outside of the pile only is considered. However, if the vertical loading is downwards, STA PILE3 considers internal shaft friction if the pile is specified as open ended, or the end bearing of the plug, whichever is less, as well as the end bearing of the pile wall

C:\Documents and Settings\Lucy.HAL-9000A\My Documents\My Web Sites\stewart-usa.com\www\HTMLobj-STAPile3Manual\STAPILE3.DOC

STA PILE3, VERSION 1.6, October 1998	PAGE 16

annulus. If the pile is specified as closed end, the end bearing of the full cross section is calculated. The equations for end bearing are taken from API RP 2A (Reference 1) with coefficients and limiting values from Table 6.4.3-1 in this reference.

The user of STA PILE3 is cautioned to use care in selecting the soil properties for analysis. The user is advised to consult Reference 1.

The user can see a graph of skin friction down the pile by clicking once on the "Friction" button. An example is shown in Figure 11, below.



FIGURE 11

8.3 Ultimate Resistance To Horizontal Loading

The method of Brinch Hansen is used to calculate the ultimate lateral resistance of the pile, inasmuch as the pile is divided into around 50 elemental lengths. This number varies depending upon whether the pile is completely buried or has any of its length above the soil. Each elemental length is treated as a rigid unit. The maximum passive resistance for each rigid unit, however, is not found using Brinch Hansen's coefficients, but is found using API coefficients (Reference 1). This section of the manual reproduces equations from API RP 2A, using the same equation numbers as in that document (Reference 1).

Cohesive Soils

The ultimate lateral bearing capacity, p_u of clay is varied between 8c and 12c except at shallow depths where failure occurs in a different mode due to minimum overburden pressure. Cyclic loads caused deterioation of lateral bearing capacity below that for static loads. In stiff clays (c>2000 psf), more rapid deterioation under cyclic loading is expected, according to Reference 1. The following equations, taken from Reference 1 are implemented within STA PILE3.

 p_u increases from 3c to 9c as X increases from 0 to X_R according to:

 $\begin{array}{l} p_u = 3c + \gamma X + J \ c X/D \ \ldots \ (6.7.2\mathchar`-1) \\ \mbox{and} \\ p_u = 9c \ for \ X \ge X_R \ \ldots \ (6.7.2\mathchar`-2) \\ \mbox{where:} \\ p_u = \ ultimate \ resistance, \ psi \\ c = \ undrained \ shear \ strength \ for \ undisturbed \ clay \ soil \ samples, \ psi \\ D = \ pile \ diameter, \ in. \\ \gamma = \ effective \ unit \ weight \ of \ soil, \ lb/in^3 \\ J = \ A \ value \ of \ .5 \ is \ appropriate \ for \ Gulf \ of \ Mexico \ clays, \ and \ is \ used \ in \ STA \ PILE3, \ although \ this \ can \ be \ user \ controlled \ if \ desired. \\ X = \ depth \ below \ soil \ surface, \ in. \end{array}$

$X_R = \underline{\gamma D} + J$

Cohesionless Soils

The ultimate lateral bearing capacity for sand has been found to vary from a value at shallow depths determined by Eq. 6.7.6-1 to a value at deep depths determined by Eq. 6.7.6-2. At a given depth the equation giving the smallest value of p_u should be used as the ultimate bearing capacity.

p _{us} =	$(C_1 \bullet H + C_2 \bullet D) \bullet \gamma \bullet H \dots$	(6.7.6-1)
$p_{ud} =$	$(C_3 \bullet D \bullet \gamma \bullet H \dots$	(6.7.6-2)
re:		

where:

- p_u = ultimate resistance (force/unit length), lbs/in. (s = shallow, d = deep)
- γ = effective soil weight, lb/in³
- H = depth, in.
- ϕ' = angle of internal friction of sand, deg.
- C_1 , $C_2 C_3 =$ Coefficients determied from figure 6.7.6-1 as function of ϕ' .
 - D° = average pile diameter from surface to depth, in.

In STA PILE3, coefficients C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 are determined based upon the user input angle of internal friction for the soil and by polynomial curve fits to Figure 6.7.6-1 in Reference 1.

Note: All units for user input terms in STA PILE3 are converted to appropriate values for use in the equations described in this section. It is important that the user inputs values in the units shown in the input data section of the program.

Layered Soils

Up to three soil layers which may be mixed cohesive and cohesionless layers can be specified by the user in STA PILE3. In each layer, the above equations are implemented for each elemental length of the pile. Overburden pressure is calculated for buried cohesionless layers.

Rotational Failure Calculations

In order to calculate the failure mode of a pile anchor subject to horizontal loading, the unit passive resistance of each element of the pile at a depth Z below the ground surface is given by the equation:

$$P_{z} = P_{0z}K_{qz} + cK_{cz}$$

Where P_{oz} is the effective overburden pressure at depth z, c is the cohesion of the soil at depth z, and K_{qz} and K_{cz} are the passive pressure coefficients for the frictional and cohesive components respectively at depth z.

For each successive soil layer, the depth z is assumed to begin at the top of the soil layer in order to determine the appropriate coefficient K_q or K_c . However, the effective overburden pressure is calculated from the top of the soil down to the depth of the layer in question.

The total passive resistance on each horizontal element (dH) is given by the equation:

$dH = p_Z dHB$

The point of rotation of the pile is then found such that the summation of the passive soil resistance forces times their lever arms above the point of rotation balances the sum of the element passive resistances multiplied by their lever arms below the point of rotation.

Having found the depth to the center of rotation from the above approach, the ultimate lateral resistance of the pile to a horizontal force is obtained by taking moments about that point of rotation. The program then constructs shearing force and bending moment diagrams. The bending moments, shear forces, and soil reaction diagrams are reported on the single page of output which would normally be printed by a user of the program.

9.0 PILE STRESSES

Having found the bending moments in the pile, the program calculates bending stresses and reports the maximum bending stress in response to the ultimate horizontal load that the pile can resist. The program also reports the bending stress in response to the user specified applied horizontal load.

Additionally, the program reports axial stresses in response to the vertical loads. The program determines the ultimate vertical (upwards) load that the pile can carry and the maximum axial stress that this will cause. It reports this value as well as the maximum axial stress in response to the user specified vertical load, as shown in Figure 12.



FIGURE 12

REFERENCES

- 1. "Recommended Practice For Planning, Designing And Constructing Fixed Offshore Platforms", API Recommended Practice 2A (RP 2A) 19th Edition, August 1, 1991.
- 2. "Handbook For Marine Geotechnical Engineering", Technical Editor, Rocker, K. March 1985, available from Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93043.
- 3. Meyerhof, G.G. and Ranjan, G., "The Bearing Capacity of Rigid Piles Under Inclined Loads in Sand, I: Vertical Piles", Canadian Geotechnical Journal, Vol. 9, 1972, pp. 430-446.

APPENDIX 1

DEFINITION OF INPUT DATA

This Appendix lists each of the input data terms that the user may edit. Note that by placing the cursor on any input data cell and clicking on the button, *EXPLAIN VALUE*, the User is presented with a dialog box containing a detailed description of the input data term. This Appendix provides a reference for each of these terms.

Z1, thickness of upper soil layer (ft)

This is the thickness of the first soil layer from the sea bed downwards. Units are feet.

Z2, thickness of middle soil layer (ft)

This is the thickness of the second soil layer beneath the sea bed. Units are feet. Note that a visual check on the input data is provided in the upper diagram on the main results page for the program entitled, *Pile Elevation*.

Z3, thickness of lowest soil layer, (ft)

This is the thickness of the lowest soil layer in the analysis. Units are feet. Note that if the bottom of the pile is defined as extending to a depth within the sea bed beneath the bottom of this lowest soil layer, the properties for the soil at the bottom of the layer will be extended to the bottom of the pile. The program will issue a warning if the pile extends below the bottom of the third layer specified by the User.

Phi1, 1st layer friction angle (deg.)

This is the friction angle to be used in the analysis for cohesionless soil in the first layer. Unis are degrees. If the first soil layer is cohesive, Phi1 should be specified as zero. The program will issue a warning if both cohesive and cohesionless properties are specified for any soil layer.

Phi2, 2nd layer friction angle (deg.)

This is the friction angle to be used in the analysis for the second soil layer. Comments as for Phi1 apply.

Phi3, 3rd layer friction angle (deg.)

This is the third layer friction angle. Comments as for Phi1 apply.

cu1, undrained sh. strength top 1st layer (psf)

This is the UNDISTURBED undrained shear strength for the soil at the top of the first layer, in other words, at the sea bed. Units are in pounds force per square foot.

cu2, undrained sh. strength bottom 1st layer (psf)

This is the UNDISTURBED undrained shear strength for the soil at the bottom of the first layer, in other words, at the sea bed. Units are in pounds force per square foot. The undrained shear strength is considered to vary in a linear manner between the top and bottom of each layer.

cu3, undrained sh. strength top 2nd layer (psf)

This is the undrained shear strength for the soil at the top of the second layer, in other words, at the sea bed. Units are in pounds force per square foot.

cu4, undrained sh. strength bottom 2nd layer (psf)

Comments as for cu2 apply.

cu5, undrained sh. strength top 3rd layer (psf)

Comments as for cu1 apply.

cu6, undrained sh. strength bottom 3rd layer (psf)

Comments as for cu2 apply. Note that if the pile is specified as being embedded with its bottom beneath the third soil layer, the soil strength and weight parameters at the bottom of the third layer will be continued downwards.

Gamma1, 1st layer buoyant weight (pcf)

This is the submerged, or buoyant weight of the soil in the first layer. Units are in pounds per cubic foot.

Gamma2, 2nd layer buoyant weight (pcf)

This is the submerged, or buoyant weight of the soil in the second layer. Units are in pounds per cubic foot.

Gamma3, 3rd layer buoyant weight (pcf)

This is the submerged, or buoyant weight of the soil in the third layer. Units are in pounds per cubic foot.

Fy, Yield stress for pile steel (ksi)

This is the yield stress for the pile material. This term is used in calculating a unity stress check for the pile, considering the combined effects of axial and bending stresses.

ztop, top to seabed (-ve if buried) (ft)

This is the distance of the pile top to the sea bed. Units are in feet. If the pile top is at the sea bed, this term will be zero. If the top of the pile is above the sea bed, this term will be a positive value. If the pile top is driven beneath the sea bed, this term will be negative.

zc, dist.pile head to pad eye (ft)

This is the distance, in feet, from the top of the pile to the pad eye. If the pad eye is at the top of the pile, this term will be zero.

pile OD (in)

This is the outside diameter of the pile specified in units of inches.

t, pile wall thickness (inches)

This is the thickness of the pile wall in inches. The pile is assumed to have uniform wall thickness throughout its length. All piles are modeled as uniform cylinders.

E, Young's Modulus pile (psi)

This is the Young's Modulus for the pile material. Units are in pounds force per square inch. A typical value for steel is 30,000,000 psi. A typical value for concrete is 3,500,000 psi. This term is used in calculating the relative pile-soil stiffness term (see below).

Hmax, applied lateral load (kip)

This is the horizontal applied load to the pad eye. Units are kips. This term is specified by the user in a dialog box which is activated by clicking on the button, *Select Analysis Type and Apply Loads*.

Vmax, applied vert.load (+ve up) (kip)

This is the applied vertical load at the pile pad eye. Units are kips. If the pile is to be analyzed for uplift capacity, this term will be positive. If the pile is to be analyzed for vertically downwards applied loads, this term will be negative. This term is input by the using by clicking on the button, *Select Analysis Type and Apply Loads*. Note that if the User has selected to analyze suction embedment, Hmax (see above) will be set to zero and Vmax will be set to the calculated load required to cause suction embedment.

pile mass densit (lb/cuft)

This is the mass density of the pile material. Units are pounds mass per cubic foot. This term is used to calculate the submerged weight of the pile.

no. radial bulkheads

The User may specify as many radial bulkheads as desired. If the User specifies zero, there will be no radial bulkheads. If the User specifies a value a 2, the program will assume that there is a central vertical bulkhead extending across the diameter of the pile. If the User specifies 3, the program will calculate three radial bulkheads spaced as 120 degrees. If the User specifies 1, the program will assume that vertical stiffeners within the pile exist. For each of the radial bulkheads, or stiffeners, the program will calculate weight, increased tip resistance to penetration, and internal skin friction.

pile top thickness (in)

This term is the thickness of a top which the User may specify on the pile. Units are in inches. The top will contribute weight to the pile. The User may adjust the thickness to account for additional equipment on the top of a suction pile. The pile mass density is used in calculating the weight of the pile top.

cu reduction factor

This term is used to multiply the undisturbed undrained shear strength of each layer. A cu reduction factor of 0.5 means that the actual undrained strength of the soil used in resistance and pullout calculations will be 50% of that input by the User. A cu reduction factor of 0.75 means that the actual undrained shear strength of the soil will be 75% of that specified by the User.

Suction embedment analysis

In earlier versions of the program, this "switch" had to be set by the User. The term is now automatically set when the User clicks on the button, *Select Analysis Type and Apply Loads*. The User is given two options in a dialog box that will appear. The first option is to perform and installed analysis. The second option is to perform a suction embedment analysis. This term will be set to 1 if suction embedment is selected, or 2 if

STA DILES VERSION 4.6. Ostabar 1009	Annondiv 1 DACE 5
STA PILES, VERSION 1.0, October 1990	Appendix 1 - PAGE 5

installed analysis is selected. If it is desired to determine the penetration resistance of a driven closed ended pile, this "switch" should be set to 1. Where a closed ended pile is specfied, the analysis will assume that the pile is filled with water, both during driving and during pullout resistance calcuations. If the User specifies an closed ended pile by setting this "switch" to 1 and then selects to perform a suction embedment analysis, the program will issue a warning. In the psi method (), the friction force is:

This term is a selection switch which sets alpha = 1.0 in the calculation of pile skin friction using the API RP 2A (19th Edition) psi method. This effectively sets f = cu which is appropriate for underconsolidated.